Guidelines for Evaluation of Preparatory Phase of IWMP Projects

Rationale:

Monitoring and **Evaluation** on regular basis is essential from project management point of view as it allows to cross check the status of the project, identify drawbacks and strengths, offer paths of correction/revision, gain knowledge, build expertise and share experiences within and between the various groups of actors and stakeholders.

While **Monitoring** involves routine assessment of progress and status of various ongoing activities, **Evaluation** on the other hand is more of a periodic assessment of achievement/milestones in accordance with the objectives of the project.

In the Common Guidelines for Watershed Development Projects, 2008, one of the guiding principles is Monitoring, Evaluation and learning. The principle states that "A participatory, outcome and impact oriented and user focused monitoring and evaluation and learning system would be put in place to obtain feedback and undertake improvements in planning, projects design and implementation. "

Broadly the objectives of evaluation for watershed projects can be summed up as follows:

- To obtain essential benchmark data related to the project and incorporating the same in DPRs.
- 2. To identify phase wise tangible outputs and behavioral outcomes that can be used in the long-term impact evaluation of the project.
- 3. To suggest improvement and initiate timely actions.

Monitoring & Evaluation have been elaborated under the component 11, Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning in the Common Guidelines for Watershed Development Projects, 2008 from Para 85 to 90.

The common guidelines elaborates that there will be a national panel of Evaluating Agencies in each Ministry. A minimum percentage of evaluations and impact studies will be carried out by national level agencies that will ensure objectivity as well as infuse a national perspective. There will also be an SLNA panel of evaluators, approved by the Departmental Nodal Agency at central level. The panel will include only institutions and agencies — not individuals. The SLNA will enter into a formal contract with these agencies. The WCDC may

choose any agency from the SLNA approved panel, the only condition being that the agency should not belong to the area being evaluated. Each evaluation will include physical, financial and social audit of the work done. Evaluators are to be seen not so much as inspectors but as facilitators. However, they will be very strict in ensuring that these guidelines are being followed. In accordance with this, these guidelines have been prepared for evaluation of preparatory phase activities under IWMP.

Framework:

The suggestive framework for evaluation to assess the preparatory phase activities is given below. The external evaluation agency may use this framework to assess the performance of the watershed project and accordingly rank the performance and state their remarks against each activity. Based on that, the overall performance may be indicated as Excellent/Very Good/Good/Satisfactory/Poor without any ambiguity.

Methodology: In order to assess the performance it is important to gain a view of stakeholders involved and also evaluate the information collected during the process by various institutions involved in the watershed project. The process of evaluation shall include review of records & reports use online MIS / project data base, minutes of meeting, focus group discussion with the Primary Beneficiaries, dialogue and multistakeholder meeting at PIA and District level, and field visits to the watershed sites to verify the status of activities/works on the ground and social audit.

The Evaluation will cover all the sanctioned project areas for the particular batch/year of project. The sample size under the project should not be less than a minimum of 30% of the project area for general evaluation and this shall be selected through random sampling method. The physical verification of the EPAs, works and institutions shall be carried out covering atleast 20% of the EPAs, works and institutions in the project area on stratified random sampling basis. The evaluation report should be prepared for each project taking into account the findings of the sample watersheds along with a consolidated evaluation report for each batch of projects (example- batch1/2009-10 projects).

Key: Performance rank: ScoreScore (for working out cumulative performance)

Excellent-E = on schedule, >90% achievement and as per plan,	(9.5)
Very Good-VG= 80-90% achievement as per plan,	(8.5)
Good-G= 60-<80 % achievement as per plan,	(7.0)
Satisfactory-S= 50-<60 % achievement as per plan	(5.5)
Poor-P = <50 % achievement as per plan	(4.0)

Information may be filled in terms of Nos. , $\overline{\P}$ and Yes or No or descriptive as deemed necessary and applicable under each indicator

T = Target in Nos./₹ A=Achievement in Nos. /₹

Y=Yes, N= No, NA= Not applicable

Observation, suggestion if any may be mentioned in the column titled remarks.

The Performance under each indicator is to be ranked as E/VG/G/S/P and/or Y/N based on achievement as given in the **key**. The average score of all the indicators will be considered as a cumulative performance. For Example, if total score obtained is say 350 for all the 50 line items , the average cumulative score will be 7.0, suggesting overall performance as "Good"

Suggestive framework for Preparatory Phase Evaluation:

- 1. Name of watershed:
- 2. Code of watershed:
- 3. Location
 - a) Longitude
 - b) Latitude
- 4. Name of District:
- 5. Name of Taluk/Block:
- 6. Total area of watershed:
- 7. Total cost of the project:
- 8. Names of villages covered:
- 9. Name of PIA:
- 10. Name of the Evaluating Agency:
- 11. Evaluation Indicators: as per Annexure I

Annexure-I

Components	Status		Performance including quality of performance (score for each grading as per the Key)	Remarks
1. Entry Point Activities (EPA)	T		,	
	Target	Achievements		
i. Number of EPAs			E/VG/G/S/P ()	
ii. Expenditure (Rs.)			E/VG/G/S/P()	
iii. Type of activities &their quality &utility	the guidel	se in tune with ines and logical emerged through (Y/N)	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	
iv. Participatory process followed in identifying EPAs	Y / partially followed / N		If yes= E/VG If partially followed=G/S If no =P()	
2. Village Level Institution Building		,		
	Target	Achievements		
User Groups (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P*()	
Self Help Group (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P*()	
Women Groups including UGs and SHGs (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P*()	
Major type of activities of SHGs included in Livelihood Action Plan			E/VG/G/S/P*()	
Watershed Committee formed as per guidelines				Score may
	Y	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P()	be given depending on its composition
If yes, when was it formed &status			E/VG/G/S/P()	Score may be given depending upon how timely it was formed and activity
Whether the watershed committee is sub – committee of Gram Panchayat	1		Only yes or No, No scorir	ng may be
Watershed Committee Registered under Society Registration Act 1860	Υ	N	If yes = E If no= P()	Based on the registration status

^{*}Depending on the percentage of target achieved, its performance can be scored as E/VG/G/S/P

Chairman of Watershed Committee has				Score may be	
been elected / appointed by Gram Sabha				given depending	
, ,,				upon how	
			If yes =E/VG/G/S	timely	
	Υ	N	If no=P ()	appointed	
Watershed Secretary appointed & when				Score may be given depending	
				upon how	
			If yes =E/VG/G/S	timely	
	Υ	N	If no=P ()	appointed	
Bank account has been opened for project				Score may be	
fund & (When &where)				given based on	
				timing of the	
	.,		If yes =E/VG/G/S	bank account	
Nivershau of Marshaus of Wetaushad	Y Is it in assert	N	If no=P ()	opening	
Number of Members of Watershed Committee	Common Gui	dance with the		Score may be given based on	
Committee	Common dan	acime	E/VG/G/S/P ()	the No.	
Composition of the Watershed Committee			_, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _,	Score may be	
				given based on	
		dance with the		the	
	Common Gui	delines		composition &	
			E/VG/G/S/P()	equity	
Regular meetings of WC is being called				Score may be	
			If yes =E/VG/G/S	given based on the frequency of	
	Y	N	If no=P ()	meeting	
If yes, at what intervals		1 1 1			
,			Only the numbers may be mentioned, No scoring may be given		
Minutes of meeting register being			mentioned, No sec	Score may be	
maintained				given based on	
				the entry in	
				register, clarity	
				and accessibility	
			If yes =E/VG/G/S	and	
2 Desirat Implementing Agency (DIA)	Υ	N	If no=P ()	participation	
3. Project Implementing Agency (PIA)				Score may be	
Watershed Development Team formed				Score may be given based	
			If yes =E	timing of the	
	Υ	N	If no=P ()	formation	
Watershed Development Team			, ,	Score may be	
composition is as per the Common				given based on	
guidelines			If yes =E/VG/G/S	the composition	
	Υ	N	If no=P ()	and expertise	
Involvement of WDT				Score may be	
				given based on the level of	
	i	1	İ	Lite level of	
			If ves =F/VG/G/S	participation	
	Y	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	participation	

4. Capacity Building				
. , .	Target	Achievements		
Status of Capacity Building Plan (Prepared or not)	Y	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending upon status, quality and participation of the stakeholders in the plan preparation
Awareness camps conducted (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Exposure visits conducted (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Workshops / training conducted (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Total Persons trained (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Farmers and landless trained (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Women trained (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
WDT members trained (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
WC/GP members trained (Nos.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target
Expenditure (Rs.)			E/VG/G/S/P ()	Score may be given based on the Nos. achieved as per the target

5. Detailed Project Report				
PRA exercise conducted	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given based on yes or no and quality of PRA (documentation, no. of men and women participants, information collected and sharing etc.,)
Base Line data collected and documented	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending on Y or N and the quality of information and document
Livelihood action plan with timeline prepared	Y	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending on Y or N and the quality of information and document
Production System enhancement plan with timeline prepared	Y	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending on Y or N and the quality of information and document
Consolidated action plan (perspective plan) is prepared	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending on Y or N and the quality of information and document
DPR is prepared as per the framework	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given depending on Y or N and the quality of information and document
DPR is integrated in the District Perspective Plan	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
Convergence Plan included/prepared	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given based on the quality of plan , level of involvement and inclusion
Has the plan been discussed for convergence and to what extent	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Score may be given based on the extent of involvement
Approved by SLNA	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
Uploaded in website	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P()	
AAP is part of DPR	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P()	
Net Planning/ Patch (Mapping unit) has been done	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
Year wise and month wise physical & financial target and achievement record is entered	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
DPR is integrated and updated with the IWMP-MIS (Monitoring Information System)	Υ	N	If yes =E/VG/G/S If no=P ()	Also mention if there is any deviation in MIS

6. Watershed Work				
Watershed work identified in the DPR	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
Implementation of Works initiated	Υ	N	If yes =E If no=P ()	
Details of watershed works initiated /completed Land development Water harvesting structures Drainage line treatment Plantation Livelihood others	Target	Achievement	If yes = E/VG/G/S If No= P ()	Score may be given based on the target and achievement
	Target	Achievement	% utilized	
7. Fund Utilization: Percentage			E/VG/G/S/P	Score may be given based on the target and achievement
Total Score				
Average Score	Total Score/50			
8. Comments/suggestions	on overall performance of the preparatory phase			

Evaluation team should comprise of preferably 3 members. The team members should preferably have the understanding of common guidelines, various processes and with the knowledge of evaluation/research/studies. Team should have members possessing knowledge of NRM works, production systems improvement - agriculture, livestock, fisheries, etc; micro enterprises, livelihood development etc. The PIA office is also expected to facilitate and mobilize the WCs, SHGs, UGs, WDT members and beneficiaries. The PIA should make sure that the WDT members, WC members and direct beneficiaries are present during the visit of evaluation team to watershed villages. It also should keep all the reports, records, account books, etc ready for verification by the team at the time of visit.

4. Deliverables

- 1. Evaluation reports for each cluster/project with photo documentation
- 2. Consolidated Evaluation Report for submission to DoLR

The entire evaluation process for evaluating a particular batch of projects may be completed within a specific time period as per the size of the batch of projects. The evaluation process should be completed within six weeks period or the timeline mutually agreed by two parties based on the size of the Project.