

**File No M-12012/1/2013 – M&E**  
**Department of Land Resources,**  
**Ministry of Rural Development,**  
**Government of India**  
**NPC Building**

**Consultancy Services for Monitoring & Evaluation and Learning under IWMP**

**Corrigendum No. 1 To “Request For Proposal (RFP)”**

**Dated: 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2014**

S No	Reference clause	Original Clause	Modified Clause																															
1.	ITC Data sheet – clause 4	Last Date and Time for Submission of Proposal Date: 15-10-2014 Time: 15.00 Hours	Last Date and Time for Submission of Proposal Date: <b>17 October 2014</b> Time: <b>17.30 Hours</b>																															
2.	ITC Clause under Proposal Evaluation 13.6	(New Clause)	If the shortlisted Consultant is L-1 Consultant for more than one region, then the Consultant will be selected in only <b>maximum two regions</b> . Then the subsequent Lowest Bidder will be eligible for award of contract.																															
3.	ITC Clause 13.3 Selection criteria of consulting agency	The successful bidder/agency will be chosen based on the following criteria. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Criteria</th> <th style="text-align: center;">% Weightage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>A) Technical Criteria</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Relevant experience of team in respective technical areas cited in the scope of work and no. of staff exclusively available for the task.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Available logistic and infrastructure with the Agency</td> <td style="text-align: center;">25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Criteria	% Weightage	<b>A) Technical Criteria</b>	100%	Relevant experience of team in respective technical areas cited in the scope of work and no. of staff exclusively available for the task.	25	Available logistic and infrastructure with the Agency	25	The successful bidder/agency will be chosen based on the following criteria. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Criteria</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Sub criteria</th> <th style="text-align: center;">% Weight of sub criteria</th> <th style="text-align: center;">% Weight of tech Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td rowspan="2">Experience of the firm</td> <td>No of years of experience in monitoring, evaluation and learnings</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50</td> <td rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>No of years of experience in watershed development/natural resource management either in implementation or MEL.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td rowspan="2">Methodology, workplan and understanding of TOR</td> <td>Understanding of the TOR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30</td> <td rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Acceptability and detailing of methodology of</td> <td style="text-align: center;">40</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Criteria	Sub criteria	% Weight of sub criteria	% Weight of tech Criteria	1	Experience of the firm	No of years of experience in monitoring, evaluation and learnings	50	20		No of years of experience in watershed development/natural resource management either in implementation or MEL.	50	2	Methodology, workplan and understanding of TOR	Understanding of the TOR	30	35		Acceptability and detailing of methodology of	40
Criteria	% Weightage																																	
<b>A) Technical Criteria</b>	100%																																	
Relevant experience of team in respective technical areas cited in the scope of work and no. of staff exclusively available for the task.	25																																	
Available logistic and infrastructure with the Agency	25																																	
Criteria	Sub criteria	% Weight of sub criteria	% Weight of tech Criteria																															
1	Experience of the firm	No of years of experience in monitoring, evaluation and learnings	50	20																														
		No of years of experience in watershed development/natural resource management either in implementation or MEL.	50																															
2	Methodology, workplan and understanding of TOR	Understanding of the TOR	30	35																														
		Acceptability and detailing of methodology of	40																															

S No	Reference clause	Original Clause	Modified Clause																																						
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="403 282 587 510">Relevant experience of delivering similar programs in India</td> <td data-bbox="587 282 727 510">25</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="403 510 587 925">Quality of the proposal (demonstrated understanding of objectives, completeness and coherence of response: work plan and timing, operational and management, deliverables)</td> <td data-bbox="587 510 727 925">25</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="403 925 587 947">Total</td> <td data-bbox="587 925 727 947">100</td> </tr> </table>	Relevant experience of delivering similar programs in India	25	Quality of the proposal (demonstrated understanding of objectives, completeness and coherence of response: work plan and timing, operational and management, deliverables)	25	Total	100	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 282 786 450"></td> <td data-bbox="786 282 954 450"></td> <td data-bbox="954 282 1182 450">work plan/ organization structure</td> <td data-bbox="1182 282 1289 450"></td> <td data-bbox="1289 282 1388 450"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 383 786 416"></td> <td data-bbox="786 383 954 416"></td> <td data-bbox="954 383 1182 416">Innovation</td> <td data-bbox="1182 383 1289 416">10</td> <td data-bbox="1289 383 1388 416"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 416 786 450"></td> <td data-bbox="786 416 954 450"></td> <td data-bbox="954 416 1182 450">Presentation</td> <td data-bbox="1182 416 1289 450">10</td> <td data-bbox="1289 416 1388 450"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 450 786 651">3</td> <td data-bbox="786 450 954 651">Suitability of Key personnel for the assignment</td> <td data-bbox="954 450 1182 517">Education qualification</td> <td data-bbox="1182 450 1289 517">20</td> <td data-bbox="1289 450 1388 517" rowspan="2">45</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 517 786 651"></td> <td data-bbox="786 517 954 651"></td> <td data-bbox="954 517 1182 651">Professional experience in required area of assignment</td> <td data-bbox="1182 517 1289 651">80</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="743 651 786 674"></td> <td data-bbox="786 651 954 674">Total</td> <td data-bbox="954 651 1182 674"></td> <td data-bbox="1182 651 1289 674"></td> <td data-bbox="1289 651 1388 674">100</td> </tr> </table>						work plan/ organization structure					Innovation	10				Presentation	10		3	Suitability of Key personnel for the assignment	Education qualification	20	45			Professional experience in required area of assignment	80		Total			100
Relevant experience of delivering similar programs in India	25																																								
Quality of the proposal (demonstrated understanding of objectives, completeness and coherence of response: work plan and timing, operational and management, deliverables)	25																																								
Total	100																																								
		work plan/ organization structure																																							
		Innovation	10																																						
		Presentation	10																																						
3	Suitability of Key personnel for the assignment	Education qualification	20	45																																					
		Professional experience in required area of assignment	80																																						
	Total			100																																					
		<p>B- The financial bid of the firms who have scored a minimum of 60% during the technical evaluation by CEC based on the above criteria will only be opened.</p>	<p>B- The financial bid of the firms who have scored a minimum of 75% during the technical evaluation by CEC based on the above criteria will only be opened.</p>																																						
4.	TOR Item No 5-I under Baseline Survey	<p>The sample size to be considered would be 10% of projects implemented in the states in the batches under consideration. As far as practicable, the sample should be different from the samples considered by the state <b>ME&amp;L</b> agencies and should be representative of all the batches of the projects sanctioned by SLNAs. A synthesized report of all States with agro-climatic region wise analysis would be prepared by the national level agencies.</p>	<p>The sample size to be considered would be 10% of projects implemented in the states in the batches under consideration. As far as practicable, the sample should be different from the samples considered by the state <b>ME&amp;L</b> agencies and should be representative of all the batches of the projects sanctioned by SLNAs. Selected project shall be comprehensively monitored. A synthesized report of all States with agro-climatic region wise analysis would be prepared by the national level agencies.</p>																																						
5.	TOR Item	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MIS software made</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MIS software for ME &amp; L <b>Module</b> made</li> </ul>																																						